



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_15466
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF STEAM POWER PLANT AT KADUNA REFINING AND PETROCHEMICAL COMPANY FROM EXERGETIC PERSPECTIVE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The revised version shows some improvement w.r.t. the previous version, but the changes introduced by the Authors are marginal and do not change my "rejection" advice. The brief paragraph on the exergy function lacks both breadth and depth (and rigor!). The references are second-hand citations: I would sincerely suggest the Authors to peruse some of the original writings by Baehr, Bosnjakovic, Gaggioli, Kotas, Moran and get a better grasp of the theory and of the possibilities, capabilities and implications of the applications. I do not think the paper can be accepted even with further revisions. It ought to be completely rewritten in a more archival fashion (I am not referring here only to "style")	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of Roma, Italy