

1. The introduction is too long and based on statistics, not derived from any specific research question. In addition, the authors should mention at least once about the novelty of their research.
2. It is unusual to have a graph in the introduction.
3. The term “worker remittances” can be replaced with remittances only.
4. The literature reviewed considered only the empirical portion not the theoretical parts. In addition, few references were not related to the paper (such as Gilani et.al, 1981 which is mainly a micro study but the authors tried to do a macro study).
5. In their model they used real GDP as the dependent variable and all the independent variables were expressed as a % of real GDP. So my assumption is that there remains the possibility of heteroscedasticity. In that case they should also run the ARCH model. The author should also check that before doing ARDL.
6. The author should be careful about their decision regarding the investment as they are using fixed capital formation as a proxy for investment.
7. The analysis of the cusum and cusumsq i.e. the stability tests results can be more effective if the specification test compelled the author (s) to do the ARDL.
8. A bit more attention should be paid to some grammatical errors which could be atypo.
9. How is this article different from Junaidet.al. (2001)?

Note: Anonymous Reviewer