



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

### **PART 1:**

|                          |                                                                                       |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">British Journal of Economics, Management &amp; Trade</a>                  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>MS: 2012_BJEMT_2940</b>                                                            |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>ANALYSIS OF THE PHYSICAL CAPACITY UTILIZATION IN THE SUGAR INDUSTRY IN NIGERIA</b> |

**General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:**

**(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)**

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### **PART 2:** Review Comments

|                                            | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <b>Interesting topic, especially from the point of view of the Nigerian economy. The methodology used is appropriate, the results reflect to the hypothesis. The paper is well structured and highlights the research work well.</b> |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | Format and editing should be checked again (e.g. Table one is too big, doesn't fit the text frames; letter types).<br>Keywords should be more appropriate, not just repeating the title.                                             |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                               |

### **Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                                                   |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Laszlo VASA Phd</b>                                                            |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Faculty of Economics and Social Sciences, Szent Istvan University, Hungary</b> |