



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_3631
Title of the Manuscript:	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER AND THE NIGERIAN EXPERIENCE

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The re-specified process from equation (1) to (2) is not well explained. The choice of variables is not clear. What is the reason to put D(EXRATE)? The estimation model, equation (2), needs some revision, since the D(EXRATE) and NETEXPORT are strongly correlated. On the other hand, why labour (population) variable is not included in the estimation equation? My suggestion to the equation revision is to add labour variable and drop the D(EXRATE) variable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is an interesting paper to show the importance of technology transfer and FDI to the Nigeria's growth. It is well written and informative.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chun-Chien Kuo
Department, University & Country	Dept. Of International Business, National Taipei College of Business, Taiwan