



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013 BJEMT 4041
Title of the Manuscript:	HBCU Students and Career Opportunities: Self-Evaluation in the Job Market

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Need to define the terms of students, and align this usage across the entire manuscript (for example, in line 79, how will you expect a full time student to have a full time job?). Alternatively, are you interest in those newly graduates from college? There are weak links among variables. Please add substantial arguments (with citations) to support the hypothesized model (fig 1). This means you may need to strengthen the argument for the associations of the variables in the model. This is particular important for a research that adopting SEM to check the fitness of data and model, as this research does. Table 2 shows that you have a PERFECT model with index values equal or larger than 1.00, whereas, correlations and ANOVA testing shows contradictory results (tables 1, 3, 4). Will you provide more explanations for this?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Format of reference list need substantial editing.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Frank Pan
Department, University & Country	Healthcare Management, Tajen University, Taiwan (ROC)