



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Economics, Management &amp; Trade</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>2013_BJEMT_5427</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT AND PROFITABILITY: EVIDENCE FROM SAUDI CEMENT COMPANIES</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:**

**(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)**

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### **PART 2: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	The author should change the tenses in the abstract to past tenses. There are six objectives. The author should consider revising lines 109 – 118. Where is the title of the table between lines 192 – 193? Check line 293. The author did not show how the objectives were achieved, because there are differences between objectives and hypothesis.	<p>-Abstract tenses were changed into past tense</p> <p>-Objectives were changed to match with hypotheses.</p> <p>- lines 109 and 118 were revised.</p> <p>- Table between 192-193 was titled.</p> <p>- Objectives were changed to match with hypotheses and achieved in the study results and discussion section.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Line 123 should be revised. Equally line 129 needs revision. Also line 155 and 165 requires revision. Consider revising lines 197, 218 and 227.	Lines 123, 129, 155, 165, 197, 218 and 227 were revised.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The paper is a good research paper.	Thank you for adding more value to my research