



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_5565
Title of the Manuscript:	A Measure of Performance and Efficiency of Commercial Banks in Taiwan: Applying Data Envelopment Analysis and Malmuist Index
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<i>The authors' usage of English language is slightly unclear. However, one has to think for the authors as to what they actually want to say. This should quickly be corrected according to the guidelines provided. As it is, this article should have the Malmquist Index and Data Envelopment Analysis as measure of performance and efficiency of commercial bank in Taiwan clearly contextualized within the banking industry as a whole. Would the audience know the meaning of all the acronyms used? It is extremely important that these terms be defined in the introduction as well so that in the end the results should be clear and thus understandable. Also of utmost importance is what it is that might have sparked this investigation. Consequently, the clarification in this aspect would be most plausible. Did the authors spell-check the paper before submission? Otherwise, the paper is not very bad at all but timely.</i>	Agree and the manuscript is changed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<i>Some acronyms have been used without providing the full descriptions on their first use. Moreover, the origins of the Malmquist Index as forming part of the theme of the paper still remain unknown. It was not even defined in the introduction.</i>	Agree and the manuscript is changed.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<i>To strengthen the research argument, the authors should provide an example or two of such countries whose banks might be affected by the problem investigated, especially amongst the developing countries.</i>	This is a short paper, which does not have large literature review.