



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_7248
Title of the Manuscript:	A Critical Review of Ethical Practices in the Public Sector of Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author does not specifically respond to the five research proposals. Moreover, the answers are brief and make almost no connection with the review of the literature. research methodology is to review, because ideas do not seem well-articulated. However, The author does not specifically respond to the five research proposals. Moreover, the answers are brief and make almost no connection with the review of the literature. research methodology is to review, because ideas do not seem to mesh well. At this stage of the writing of the manuscript, because it suffers from several shortcoming in its structure and poor articulation of key concepts.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alpha Ayande
Department, University & Country	School of Management, University of Quebec at Montreal, Canada.