SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJEMT_6349**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Are there Clear Simple Rules as to Which IPOs Are Likely to Outperform the Market Over the Long Term?**
Type of the Article	**Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	In my opinion the reviewed paper is very interesting and it should be published. There are sufficient details of the methods/process so that another researcher is able to reproduce the experiments described. Data are well controlled and robust. Conclusion is supported by the data and authors provide adequate proof for their claims. References are adequate.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer