



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_5427
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT AND PROFITABILITY: EVIDENCE FROM SAUDI CEMENT COMPANIES
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author should change the tenses in the abstract to past tenses. There are six objectives. The author should consider revising lines 109 – 118. Where is the title of the table between lines 192 – 193? Check line 293. The author did not show how the objectives were achieved, because there are differences between objectives and hypothesis.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 123 should be revised. Equally line 129 needs revision. Also line 155 and 165 requires revision. Consider revising lines 197, 218 and 227.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is a good research paper.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer