SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJEMT_5958**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Portfolio Management to Reduce the Risk of Stockholders in the Bangladesh Stock Market**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	This is a very interesting empirical study. It would be significant from the policy makers' point of view, if conducted logically. The author(s) are requested to clearly state the contribution of this study to the existing literature and how this study is distinct from the existing similar studies. The empirical results obtained in the study are alright. This makes the study robust. The discussion part is almost non-existent in the study. The author(s) are requested to discuss the implications of the results so obtained thereby suggesting policy measures for better instances. The conclusion should be in reference with extant empirical literature on this issue.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer