



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_3671
Title of the Manuscript:	Business Infrastructure and the Ease of Doing Business

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	More current or recent literature is needed to support this study (e.g. when you discussed about the business infrastructure – macroeconomic stability, demographic trends etc). Please integrate some current / recent findings of the variables being studied to the manuscript. Technical Part of the manuscript – need to be corrected. <u>Line 30:</u> There should be a space in between these two words “identified47%”. <u>Line 75:</u> There should be a space in between these two words “country?An” <u>Line 240:</u> It is better to use “we do not treat” instead of “we don’t treat” in writing. <u>Line 247:</u> There should be a space in between these two words “model. 3The”. <u>Line 252:</u> Check the font of word Population. It is not standardized. <u>Line 265:</u> A space should be in between these two words	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	“categorieswas”. <u>Line 271 – 272:</u> Check back the sentence especially the usage of the symbol “: and ,)” in the sentence begins with (The 6 categories.....bottom 10%. The end of this sentence is without “)” while the beginning of the sentence used “(“. <u>Line 282:</u> There should be a space in between these two words “47%off”. <u>Line 290:</u> There should be a space in between these two words “country.Policies”.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Implications (Part V) should highlight whether findings answered the research question asked in the previous section. More related discussion is needed here. Implications (Part V) is not justified (align text), as opposed to the other parts of the article.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This manuscript is a very straight forward research paper, with only one research question to be answered. The references section is too long and it is longer than the manuscript itself. You might want to cut some of the references, leaving behind the most important or the one that have been mentioned/cited in the writing.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer