SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJEMT_7248**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A Critical Review of Ethical Practices in the Public Sector of Saudi Arabia**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The abstract and the paper itself focuses on a very detailed analysis and paper's approach can be considered innovative in terms of the proposes of the review. The paper does take into account key literature and also is linguistically clear and grammatically correct. The paper is well structured and clear in describe the matter and takes into account methodical considerations on ethics in the public sector.	
Minor REVISION comments	It should be better to include a paragraph "Conclusions".	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer