SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJEMT_6979**
Title of the Manuscript:	**R &D Expenditures and Import Competition: Recent Evidence for the U.S.**
Type of the Article	**Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments		
	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		

SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	The authors abstract need to address the recommendations he/she made based on the findings. Because abstract is expected to address some key issues in summary such as objectives,methodology, findings, recommendations.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Kabir Haruna Danja**
Department, University & Country	**Economics Department, Kampala International University, Uganda.**