



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_BJEMT_3387
Title of the Manuscript:	Financial Intermediation and Economic Growth in Sudan: An Empirical investigation, 1970-2011.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
When I mentioned this sentence: "Alternatively, we use the natural logarithm of real per capita GDP (LPGDP) as a measure of economic growth.", my point was that the LEVEL of the variable is not the GROWTH RATE. The sentence would be better written therefore as: "Alternatively, we use the CHANGE IN THE natural logarithm of real per capita GDP (LPGDP) as a measure of economic growth." I don't have any problem with you using the level of GDP, but the way that the sentence was written was wrong. There remain a small number of typos and grammatical mistakes that could be ironed out. I don't know whether this is journal policy or not, but the use of [60] rather than Wachtel (2000) is somewhat annoying. The paper uses three variables to measure the financial sector (CPS, LIQ and MS). It's not clear whether these are the same variables as discussed in section 3.2. If not, it would make sense to report information on these variables. If so, then this should be made clear. The paper mentions in Section 3.1 that weather, investment in oil, reforms, etc impact upon growth in Sudan in the recent period. This would seem to suggest that measures of these variables (where available) should be included in the econometric analysis. This doesn't seem to be the case however.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neil Foster-McGregor
Department, University & Country	Vienna Institute for International Economic Studies (wiiw), Austria