



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_10018
Title of the Manuscript:	CREDIT CONSTRAINTS: ITS EXISTENCE AND DETERMINANTS AMONG POULTRY (EGG) FARMERS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Introduction Part so long, it may be within 2 page only include important part. In results section should be specify for key finding and if need to details then it may be explain in discussion part. In methodology part need to improve. References lists and table sub title need to same pattern. All section need to improve based on scientific writing or professional writing. If possible to include update reference information.	Introduction has been revised. It cannot be reduced to 2 pages because the information contained there are relevant and express the theory and concept behind the work. The methodology has been revised for consistency of variables and other suggestions. References list has been corrected. Discussions have been supported with references
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	If possible need to more internal revision	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall manuscript is good. If meet the above comments then it may be quality paper.	