



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_10160
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC STRUCTURE'S CHANGE BASED ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DOMESTIC FINAL DEMAND AND PRODUCTION, VALUE ADDED AND IMPORT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is not clear how Table 1 and Table 2 (induced impacts to final demand to income, production or imports) have been calculated; the text needs more explanation in this regard. Indeed, it is not clear how the formulas have been transferred to those numbers. More clarification is needed.	Thank you very much for your comments! I revised per your comments in the paper
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The text needs essential editing before being published.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Toward the end of the text (before the conclusion) it is not clear how trade deficit is related to the induced impact coefficient. Moreover the relation between different sections and issues discussed are weak.	I tried to revise per your interesting comments. I also put more equations on induced effect of import