



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_10378
Title of the Manuscript:	RISK AND DEVELOPMENT IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I read the format of review form and in the part 5 is said Are there plagiarism issues in this manuscript? I put the paper in a program for verifying the plagiarism and I find that is 40% and the program indicates that "some individual words in this submission contain characters from different scripts within the same word. This is sometimes characteristic of a deliberate attempt to circumvent plagiarism detection algorithms, by making words from copied source material look readable to a person, but appear unique to a machine processor". In order to finish my work, I attach you a paper file with the print screen of the report. I wait your decision concerning the paper.	Author completely disagrees with this inappropriate comment on plagiarism. According to the Word English Dictionary, plagiarism is defined as an act or instance of using or closely imitating the <u>language</u> and thoughts of another author without authorization and the representation of that author's work as one's own, as by not crediting the original author. Thus, as long as proper credit is given to the source, paraphrasing an idea cannot be considered as plagiarism. The fact that reviewer uses a program to verify plagiarism and depends on it to make such comment is unacceptable.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		