SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJEMT_10531**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Corruption, governance and public spending in Nigeria: implications for economic growth.**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	In table 1, the condition of corruption has been Improved in terms of corruption perception index (CPI), For example, 0.69 in 1996, 1.6 in 2002, and 2.4 in 2011. Besides, Nigeria has not been ranked among the bottom ten countries of highly corrupt countries of the world since 2006 (e.g. 142/163 in 2006). However, authors stated (lines 422-423) that "table 1 show that corruption in Nigeria has not only increased over the years, but is also endemic." The empirical evidences do not support the arguments which authors have made in this paragraph. It is therefore suggested that authors should justify their arguments and explain in more detail.	Manuscript corrected in line with reviewer's comment and correction highlighted in yellow color
Minor REVISION comments		

SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	Major revision is required before publication.	