



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_10569
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relationship between Electricity Consumption and Economic Growth in Five Developing Countries
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlights that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. There are many syntax and grammar errors, which reduce the readability of the article. 2. The title may not reflect the main content of the article, for the article studied the relationship of electricity consumption, inflation, employment rate with economic growth. 3. The literature review is very confused. Of course, it should be closely linked to the theme, i. e. the relationship, but from the line 106 to 109, from 127 to 134, we can not find the linkage especially the relationship between electricity consumption and economic growth. 4. In part 3, we can not find the reason that the authors select such factors, which should be added, for instance, it may be due to the other researchers' related work.	Corrections have done and highlight that part in the manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The line 254 should be in the line 217. 2. The key word "Employment" should be "Employment rate". 3. The difference between the formula (2) and (3) must be illustrated clearly.	Corrections have done and highlight that part in the manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There are many syntax and grammar errors. The author must look for the help of professionals to correct the mistakes.	Corrections have done and highlight that part in the manuscript.