



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_10588
Title of the Manuscript:	Saving-Investment Gap and Economic Growth in Developing Countries: Simulated Evidence from Selected Countries in Africa
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Introduction section is weakly prepared. Some equations cannot be read. The lemma on line 215 is well known in the literature Estimations and graphs are not satisfactory The last sentence in conclusion cannot be understood Language of the paper is hard to follow.	1) We believe the introduction captures key papers in the literature that are relevant to our study. We believe as well that our introduction is concise and clear to avoid lengthy discussions on matters that already well known in the literature. 2) The equations that cannot be read are due to the formatting used by the editorial office when converting our paper. We have attached another revised version that includes all equations clearly written. 3) To accommodate the reviewer's comment, we have outlined this fact in the note section. 4) To enhance clarity of the last sentence, we have rephrased it. Changes are highlighted in yellow. 5) We do believe our paper is well written and we disagree with this Reviewer. Other reviewers as well do not share this reviewer's comment about our paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		