



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_10637
Title of the Manuscript:	LOW PERFORMANCE OF ECONOMIC GROWTH IN WEST AFRICAN ECONOMIC AND MONETARY UNION (WAEMU): EXPLANATORY FACTORS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: The abstract would be better presented in reporters' language. Also, a word "are" is missing on line 12 (in between various and challenges). Since the study did not focus on macroeconomic management, economic patriotism, etc., i do not see why these words could be used as keywords. Introduction: Generally, the introduction is very informative but the language is a bit journalistic in nature. E.g. Line 57 author states "But, let us at first". Avoid the use of such informal communication in academic articles. Entire Content: Generally, the content of the work is informative but do not follow any well informed academic research structure. This work can be viewed as literature review on various conclusions drawn by academic researchers. It is therefore, recommended that author restructure the work to follow well academic paper format. For example, Introduction, literature review, method, analysis, conclusion. Author should actually ask if there has been contribution in terms of analysis. Also, author could not justify the source of those obstacles identified. Where are they coming from: Who formed them or they are based on a document? Readers need to know about that. This study, at best, is more of review of literature therefore, in your methodology you state there that the work is purely a review of literature. Conclusion: In its current state, the paper is not publishable.	This article analyzes the determinants of economic growth in the WAEMU. We emphasize the importance of competitiveness to support sectors of economic activity. We show the importance of price, exchange rate, exports, governance and economic patriotism for economic growth. Reviewer's remarks are taken into account. The work is restructured. The literature review and methodology are made.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	It needs to at least follow the necessary format for academic writing.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		