



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_10773
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of Agricultural Export Trade: Case of Fresh Pineapple Exports from Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The researcher could have covered data of longer period to make it more reliable time-series analysis.	I really appreciate the reviewer's comment. However, as mentioned in section 3 (Pineapple supply and export chain for Ghana) of the document, pineapple exports from Ghana commenced in the year 1984 and data for some of the variables (specifically domestic consumption) was up to 2009, as of the time data was collected and analysed; hence the scope (1984-2009) of our analysis. In as much as we would have loved to have an extended series (thus for years beyond 1984), data available was only for this period. Having tested the coefficients for stability and the residual series for stationarity and appropriate standard Gaussian assumptions however, we do believe that although relatively shorter a period, our analysis remains valid and reliable.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		