



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                              |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <b><u>British Journal of Economics, Management &amp; Trade</u></b>                           |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>2014_BJEMT_10773</b>                                                                      |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Determinants of Agricultural Export Trade: Case of Fresh Pineapple Exports from Ghana</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>                                                             |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <p>Although the author has used various references, and he/she has investigated a trend, but most of them are old ones and there are about 10 references before 2000 (for example 1992, 1994, ..). Also, the reputed journals and global reports cannot be seen in the references so much which can have a negative impact on the literature review.</p> | <p>I really appreciate the reviewer's comment and deem his concern and proposition highly valid. The study has been revised to highlight findings from recent works as suggested by the reviewer. However, in as much as we investigated a trend, emphasis was primarily placed on founding our study on both previous and current researches with valid insight and propositions for the primary issue we investigated. Although some of the references still in the revised version date back to the 1990s, we made use of them because most of the propositions and arguments in such studies were deemed highly informative, useful, intuitive and valid. Although there are many articles published recently on the area we investigated, majority of the arguments noted in some of such articles, to us, have no solid backing but are mere speculations, while some of them make no sense at all. In response to the suggestion on use of articles from reputed journals, I believe the word 'reputed' is somehow subjective, as some of the articles acknowledged in this study in my opinion could</p> |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | be said to have been sourced from reputed journals and working/discussion papers (thus prioritizing quality of information over global Impact factor). Use however was not made of global reports because focus was more on realities on the ground in relation to agricultural exports from Africa. |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments | <p>In general, the paper has a good and well written structure and the author has focused on the paper's aim well. Also, the relationship between the paper's structure and aim is strong.</p> <p>In summary, the weak points of the paper are very low.</p> <p>If any ethical issues please clarify.</p> | I really appreciate the reviewer's comment.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |