



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_11091
Title of the Manuscript:	Corruption, Institutional Quality And Economic Performance In An Emerging Economy: Lessons From Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article should be brought into line with the adopted structure. In particular, there should be added sections "Discussion" and "Conclusion". Other sections should also be properly marked. Now the meaning in sections is confused and distorted. Article written unclear, it is desirable to clarify the text to make it more understandable	The article has been brought in line with the adopted structure. Section 2 now theoretical issues and it is highlighted. The empirical results are discussed in details under a new sub-section 5.2 in section 5. This new section is highlighted. Also, there is conclusion.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author gives a picture in a generalized form, although specific data he has. It is desirable to modify the drawing based on the known data	We only applied the drawing adapted from the dead weight loss theory to explain welfare loss arising from corruption and weak institutions in Nigeria. This was meant to graphically substantiate the fact that corruption and weak institutions can have a negative effect on economic performance. The graph is based on a hypothetical data for the purpose of substantiating theoretical arguments concerning the effects of corruption and weak institutions on economic performance captured in terms of welfare loss. In such a situation, it is not necessary to use specific and known data as suggested by this reviewer.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is desirable justify the need of the selection of statistical methods applied by the author	We have justified why we used this estimation technique in paragraph 1 of section 6 (that is in the first paragraph in conclusion and that paragraph is highlighted).
---	--	---