



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_11708
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors influencing the conversion to organic farming in Gaza strip
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- It would be good if the topic is modified as "Factors influencing farmers' decision to shift to Organic Farming: The case of Gaza Strip" or - The author needs to revisit the keywords - There are serious spelling errors e.g. Literature Review (line 62)!!! - To measure/estimate attitude towards organic farming, a likert scale (-2 to 2) was used. This is uncommon in most studies which used 1-5 scale; this has to be justified - Good to use equation editor (line 180) - Table formats are not appealing for the Journal, three level tables are preferred	Thanks for the valuable comments - The title is changed. - Key words are modified. - Spelling is reviewed. - Using Likert scale of -2 to 2 was based on previous study De Cock L, 2005. For analyses purpose, the answers were rescaled from 1 to 5 and a high score reflects a positive attitude. This is stated in line 150-152. - Equation editor was used to rewrite the equation in line 180. - Tables' formats are changed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- The theoretical justification of use of logit model is good but needs revision like the author can start explaining about the nature of the dependent variable, followed by discussion of available models for such kind of DVs (binary) and then justify selection of logit over the other alternatives	- Text is added to 3.4. Please check the modified article.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- The topic in general is very interesting and timely. The contents of the manuscript and well articulated and objectives are adequately met - It is one of the good papers that i have ever reviewed	THANKS