



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_12321
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies of the Evaluation of Green Financial Performance System with Comparative Analysis of China's Eight Economic Regions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper has the potential to make significant contribution to the policy debate on the role of green finance on environmental management and economic development in China with implications for the global economy. The paper needs revision before I can recommend it for publication in the British Journal of Economics, Management and Trade. Here are my comments/suggestions: 1. The manuscript requires thorough editing in the English language to provide readers a smooth flow of the text and issues discussed herein. For example, in Line 22 : replaced the word <i>stable</i> with <i>stability</i>. There are similar issues like these related to sentence structure that need flushing. The author(s) should contact someone very fluent in the English language to help accomplish this goal. 2. Lines 70 – 72: include the author(s) of the two documents cited. 3. It is difficult to see the connections between tables and figures/graphs, and the text as author(s) does not make references in the text when a particular table or figure/graph is being discussed. Tell us when you start discussing a table or figure in the text. It took me a very long time to connect the discussion in text to a particular table or figure. 4. At the bottom of each table, the note should read: Figures are calculated in this	1. Corrected according to reviewer's suggestions. 2. Added according to reviewer's suggestions. 3. Modified according to reviewer's suggestions. 4. Added according to reviewer's suggestions. 5. Corrected according to reviewer's suggestions. 6. Added according to reviewer's suggestions. 7. Checked and corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	paper by author(s) or simply write: Author's calculations. 5. Define clearly and be consistent in the use of acronyms in the text and tables, e.g. MALM, EFF, and TECH – see table 1. 6. In Table 3: t = to what? Also, define ENVLNRT. 7. Check your format of author's citations in text and references listed, e.g. Hu & et al. (2013)^[10]. Follow the journal format properly.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.	