



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_12454
Title of the Manuscript:	Bootstrap Causality between Foreign Direct Investment, Exports and Economic Growth in Burundi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Incorporate the suggestions appropriately and carefully. The sticky notes are on all the areas to be corrected except in line 244. For this line, here is the suggestion to be incorporated. Put a coma after the word tea. Put period after "utilization," i.e. "."period. Start the next sentence with the word "They" and delete "and." You will have "They neither..." Line 245. Insert "." period after unemployment. The next sentence starts at "They also ... growth" Line 247. Delete "on" between impact and economic growth ... Line 250. Delete the "the" before domestic production. It will read, "in domestic production." Line 252. Insert "." period after Burundi and the next sentence should be, "This is also not surprising." The next sentence starts with "A". i.e. A country's market size ... economy. All throughout the article, I have provided sticky notes. Authors will only have to click on them and the recommended correction will pop up on the right hand-side. The document must be saved in a PDF format to be able to access the pop-up. Please if it does not pop up for you, let me know through the editor and we can send you the MS Word format of it.	I would like to thank the anonymous reviewer for the valuable comments which have helped improve the quality of the manuscript. All the corrections suggested were made.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	After incorporating the changes, please make sure	Point noted.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	to review and edit the manuscript well before further submission.	
	There is no minor revision.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Could you find other reasons to explain why export and FDI do not impact economic growth in Burundi positively? I'm not saying that your reasons are not good. But can you find more, such as misallocation of funds, etc.	I don't find other reasons for the moment.