



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_12454
Title of the Manuscript:	Bootstrap Causality between Foreign Direct Investment, Exports and Economic Growth in Burundi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The results require discussion in the light of literature. Indeed, additional literature relevant to the issue will be relevant for the discussion.	Additional literature was added. See highlighted area from line 63-72 and from line 80-86
Minor REVISION comments	The use of acronym requires attention. The first time foreign direct investment is used, there should be FDI and subsequently, FDI should be used, unless FDI begins a sentence in which case FDI must be in full.	This was taken into account.
Optional/General comments	This is generally a good paper.	I would like to thank the anonymous reviewer for the valuable comments which have helped improve the quality of the manuscript.