SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJEMT_13321**
Title of the Manuscript:	**POVERTY REDUCTION IN NIGERIA: LESSONS FROM SMALL SCALE FARMERS OF NIGER AND KOGI STATES**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. In the abstract, the author should give a brief sampling methodology and instruments used in data collection and analysis 2. The results and discussion part is okay but the author should include comparative work from similar studies done in other parts of the world. 3. Some citations are not in the reference list eg. (UNDP, 2013) in line 95 and (Asikhia, 2010) in line number 56. The author should make sure all the citations are put in the references list.	Accept and corrected Effort has been tried but studies in this field are scarce. Accept and corrected
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well written and useful in guiding policy development on poverty reduction strategies	