



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_13486
Title of the Manuscript:	Measuring Rational Behaviour and Efficiency in Management Decision Making Processes: Theoretical Framework, Model Development and Preliminary Experimental Foundations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The researcher has to be very clear on the places the study was carried. There is Fulda University, University of Latvia and University of Bayreuth. Higher degree students and professional managers were used in the study. Were there any differences in the results from these two groups? What role was played by these respondents seeing that data gathered from another study was used?	The authors agree with the reviewer. The places of the research studies were clarified and more precisely formulated in the abstract.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	More detail on how the experiment was carried out and the specific statistical tests employed should be given. Was any computer analysis package used? Researcher has to be consistent in the use of language for example the title has UK English spelling of behaviour whereas the rest of the document uses US English. Several punctuation errors need to be corrected.	The experimental design is now described in detail in an appendix to the paper including information about the computer based statistical procedures. The spelling and punctuation errors will be corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		The statistical analyses of the experimental data were conducted with IBM SPSS Statistics. The data were tested with the following statistical procedures: Statistics all, correlation analysis, multiple regression analyses, canonical correlation analyses, analyses of variances, F-test and t-test.