



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_14116
Title of the Manuscript:	India's Export Horticulture Markets in Multispeed World Economies: Trends of Exports, Prices, Elasticities and Policies
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)												
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract- Social research does not accept paragraph instead. Please make it a single paragraph. Secondly, the abstract is too long try to condense your abstract to 150-200 words only. Include methodology , objective, sample size Reformat tables in a more scientific way e.g. <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Country</th><th>Frequency</th><th>Percentage</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>India</td><td>45</td><td>34</td></tr> <tr> <td>China</td><td>50</td><td>23</td></tr> <tr> <td>Brazil</td><td>23</td><td>15</td></tr> </tbody> </table> It is not necessary to list your objectives just incorporate one or two in to your abstract and at end tail of your introduction. Line 115-119 Line 155 should be results and discussion not only results Line 318. The title figure should be below the figure.	Country	Frequency	Percentage	India	45	34	China	50	23	Brazil	23	15	Abstract refined nicely and made in single paragraph. Tables are corrected Incorporated in the abstract. Corrected corrected
Country	Frequency	Percentage												
India	45	34												
China	50	23												
Brazil	23	15												
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments														



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	➤ The whole structure of the manuscript and the obtained is all from secondary data. There is no originality in the manuscript. ➤ The time series of the data collection is far away almost more than 2 decades, it would have been more appropriate to 5years series data or at most 10 years. ➤ Paragraphs are many and do paragraphing where necessary and appropriate. ➤ ➤ Cross-check the paper and make necessary adjustments ➤ ➤ Please cross-check the references	The paper kept in original format Results kept in decade wise and over all Period Paragraphs are reduced where ever Necessary Cross checked and revised nicely Cross checked and corrected necessary
---	---	---