



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_5856
Title of the Manuscript:	<i>Challenges of Civil Society Networks in Ghana: A Comparative Study of Four Networks</i>
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The article titled: "Social Networks in Ghana" is not cited. The authors are Christopher R. Udry (Yale University) and Timothy G. Conley (University of Chicago). The paper is May 2004. This article is interesting. Especially those networks are better positioned to succeed than individual organizations. Lack primary literature on networks. It is a key issue in the article	I am not sure whether this article was cited in the work. The reviewer did not also provide the page number. To be on a safer side, a full reference for this article has been provided and highlighted at the reference section on this paper (see reference no. 39).
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		