



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_5859
Title of the Manuscript:	Federation Account Allocation in Nigeria: Implication for Growth
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The authors should state in the intro, background and conclusion the rationale for this research (what is the research trying to answer?). Given the results, do any policy recommendations arise?	This is well stated in the <i>Statement of Problem</i> “...there have been agitations to amend the allocation formulae to favor the states... it becomes necessary to examine if the allocations to the states have contributed to economic growth in Nigeria.” Recommendations have been added.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some findings are interesting; for example, the increase in the state governments' allocation share may lead to decline in economic growth. In addition, the authors provided good literature review, methodology and presentation of results.	Noted. Thanks.