



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_7266
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-Money Laundering System: Evidence from Italian Banks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The topic is appropriate for publication in these transactions. The topic is important to colleagues working in the field. The coverage of the topic is sufficiently comprehensive and well balanced. The overall organization of the paper is satisfactory.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Use statistic methods to measure questionnaires' answers for creating of exact results. Publish in minor, required changes.	We calculated the percentages of responses by a software (dedicated to processing of the responses to questionnaires) that allowed us to conduct a technical analysis of them. For this reason we did not use specific statistic methods.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The content of the paper is good. The novelty of the paper is sufficiently. The 'literary' presentation of the paper is accessible.	