



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_7429
Title of the Manuscript:	THE INFLUENCE OF THE SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT IN PRODUCTION PROCESSES FOR GREATER SME MANUFACTURING PERFORMANCE IN MEXICO
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The English of your manuscript must be improved before resubmission. There are problems with sentence structure, verb tense and clause construction in the present manuscript. It is strongly suggested that you obtain assistance from someone who is well-versed in English or whose native language is English. 2. As can be seen from literature review, there are many academic papers studying the relationship between SCM, efficiency and effectiveness of production process and performance. Therefore, a separate paragraph should be added at the end of this part explaining the difference between your research and theirs, or any improvement and contribution you would probably make. 3. Part 5 : theoretical model should be provided with specific respect to hypotheses, or initial structural path diagram should be given. 4. Part5: Figure 1 should be translated into English. The Source 'Hernandez, 2013' cannot be found in the Reference. 5. Path coefficient and load factor should be reported when using SEM. 6. Part7: It seemed that some conclusions are not resulted from empirical analysis. E.g. line 325 to 329, but from which results reported in the paper? There should be clear and logical connection between empirical test and conclusion.	a).- Traduction was checked and adapted to english language b).- Add paragraph that refers the differentiation provided by this article compared to other research Works. At the end of the conclusion's last paragraph: In previous research studies, Wisner (2003) nárrate that for a better understanding, the GCS must have a close relationship and collaboration with providers, on the other hand, Machorro et al., (2007), gives special importance to have a controled productive process so the performance is higher; Raymond and St Pierre (2005) concern that the operative performance is mainly due to the cost control and control of operational activity. Therefore in the present research work it is reflected, based on the adaptation of the theoretical model, the importance it has for the Manufacturing SME to get a better GCS so the productive processes don't have productivity and quality problems, and in turn the control over productive processes and the GCS become Key elements for a higher business performance. c).- On the hypotesis were added the theorical model. d).- Author's references were corrected. e).- The results of the analysis of internal consistency and validity shown in Table 1 also concern that the factor loading of each indicator shows the reliability of the design of the evaluation's instrument besides there is an acceptable average load factor (greater than 0.7), the values of each construct have an accepted value of alpha cronbach over 0.7, there is an index of variance over 0.5 extracted allowing a good relationship between integrated constructs in the theoretical model f).- Correct in conclusions the contribution of empirical results. Adjusted in paragraphs 2, 5, 8 and last added from conclusions and discussion.



SDI Review Form 1.6

		g).- It was corrected the meaning of the acronyms h).- Explain the roles of the managers surveyed Methodology section, line 8, after the word "organization" Which are responsible for the direction of operations in these kind of businesses. i).- Results should be compared with other from earlier reserches. Explanation elaborated in the las line of the conclusions an discussions section. j).- Explain the application of structural surveys Paragraph added before table 4: For the analysis of structural equations it is important to design a theoretical model in which each of its constructs whose indicators are measured by Likert scales wherever it is possible to analyze the factor loading of each indicator and each construct with the alpha Cronbach and also the variance extracted index. In the model's programming it is important to reflect which indicators correspond with each construct so it could be done an appropriate exploratory análisis.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Abbreviation 'GCS' should be interpreted when it first appears in the third line of Introduction. 2. Line 6 of part 4: the functional departments to which managers surveyed belong should be stated. 3. Part 6: the results should be compared to previous already existing works.	OK
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author applies Structural Equation Model to analyze the interrelationship between supply chain management, production process and performance. The methodology applied is appropriate and the data is new. The results are clear. But the process of employing SEM is somewhat simple. Some important steps, e.g. model fit and model modification, are ignored. Overall, the paper is probably publishable, but should be reviewed again in revised form before it is accepted.	OK