



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_7487
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Regulation in Micro Finance: Jurisdictional Analysis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The proposed paper is an interesting one. The problem of microfinancing is very important especially for the developing countries. The paper examines pretty well the theoretical framework related to the analysed problems. But the part of the paper dedicated to empirical aspects of microfinancing is very developed. Consequently, it appears necessary to extend the respective part of the paper with more empirical examples. In this context, it would appear good opportunities for valuable international comparisons.	Immense thanks for the hugely beneficial comments. I have amended the title of the paper to reflect its focus. Empirical examples and their potential application from a comparative international context has been proposed for further research under the conclusion and introductory sections.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		