



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_7487
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Regulation in Micro Finance: Jurisdictional Analysis
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This research adopts a predominantly based qualitative and analytical approach, and the references are made to empirical research that is simple and not strict enough, which makes the argumentation, is not sufficient and persuasive.	I agree with reviewer three that the paper examines pretty well the theoretical framework related to the analysed problems.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Argument is insufficient in the literature review section and the theory does not get adequately support. This paper doesn't introduce enough recent researchs by scholars at home and abroad and also doesn't use enough theories to support opinions in this paper, which makes the research base section relatively weak.	I agree with reviewer three that the paper examines pretty well the theoretical framework related to the analysed problems. Current research has been included to consolidate literature review.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Case study is not detailed enough, and just simply lists the basic situations of India, Mali, Indonesia, The Phillippines, Honduras and Costa Rica.	Title of the paper has been amended to reflect focus of the study. I wish to focus on the objectives of the study and how these could be achieved through the Micro Savings Requirement Scheme.