



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_7941
Title of the Manuscript:	Recruitment and Selection in the Nigerian Public Service: Nature, Challenges and Way Forward
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is generally written very well, but the sample data which were taken not enough for comparison. Right from abstract any intended reader may be easily attentive. The author tried to present the background information to initiating the research, but need more precise information in this regard. In such type of paper, if qualitative in nature, some data for reliability and validity must be taken, so in qualitative paper some data must be based on quantitative in nature to prove the validity of the result.	That is a limitation that the paper could not tackle Effected as suggested That is a limitation that the paper could not tackle
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	If the general using APA Style so the references are not on APA Style these should be changed.	The pattern of referencing followed by this paper which was not APA, conforms to what was provided in the journal template.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author has tried to identify the subjects or source of the questionnaires utilized in the work. This situation casts a good shadow on the authenticity of the data used for analysis in this work.	