



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_8837
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ECONOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF DETERMINANTS OF EXTERNAL DEBT IN PAKISTAN
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please check through the text again because some of the words are joint together; Examples line no 33, 35, 68, 99, 115, 134, 195, 223, 239. In line 115 what you mean by barrowing	These words have been corrected and isolated in revised version. Actual word is "borrowing" which has been corrected in revised article.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research work is well articulated, sound and okay. PLEASE CLARIFY THE ETHIVAL ISSUE IF ANY	Thanks for valuable comments.