



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_9136
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCEAND VISITOR'S SATISFACTION OF RECREATION FACILITIES IN AKURE METROPOLIS: A VERITABLE TOOL FOR IMPACTS STUDIES IN UNDP MDG'S CITIES IN NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I am very agree with that this manuscript has emphasised the importance of 'recreation", and points out some consequences worth paying attention (e.g. anxiety and depression) if people insufficient attention it. However, the topic is not prominent at the 'recreation facility development programme'. I suggest the 'psychological sequelae'in the section of introduction can be shortened for better meet the topic.	I agreed , the psychological sequelae in the second paragraph has been shortened to reflect that availability of facilities for the visitors will encourage them to visits and thereby minimise cases of depression being recorded in some countries . The emphasise in this part of the introduction is availability of recreation facilities will reduce cases of depression since these will encourage people to visit like we have in Nigeria and Ondo-State.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		