



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_9201
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Change Management on Operational Excellence in Electrical and Electronics Industry: Evidence from Malaysia
Type of the Article	The Effect of Change Management on Operational Excellence in Electrical and Electronics Industry: Evidence from Malaysia

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-----	
Minor REVISION comments	-----	
Optional/General comments	The paper overall looks interesting and scientific. The research is informative and based on sound concepts. There is a good consistency in the paper. It follows the basic principles of a good research. Conclusions may be enriched. The references should be reduced to about 2 pages. I would like to <u>recommend</u> this paper to publish. I have tested the paper using the Turnitin program and the result was negative, and the proportion of the similarity less than 30%.	Thank you. Enriched Section 5.3. Already removed old and not prominent references. Thank you. Agreed.