



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_9682
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Accounting Ethics in Improving Managers' Behavior and Decision Making In the Jordanian Business Environment.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Key concepts should be defined, for example business ethics. Generalisations should be avoided, and views should be well supported. For example "Ethical decision making arises from two levels..." Is this a fact or an opinion? You should give examples of authorities that concur with the view. References while relevant most are dated. IFAC's education standard IES#4 on ethics needs to be referred to as it informs on ethics education. There appears to be inconsistencies between the 100 questionnaires analysed and 92 questionnaires returned. Details on the questionnaire administration were inadequate. Who administered the questionnaire and how was it returned to the researcher(s) Respondent selection was random but this needs further elaboration because a number of methods can still be employed. The alternative hypothesis needs to be rephrased or reformulated. The accept or reject criteria needs to be spelt out. Findings should be discussed in the context of the literature reviewed.	The literature review of our research study has many resources. The references have been enclosed according to study progress and availability of literature material. IFAC reference has been added to the literature review (Ref No. 12). The number of questionnaires valid for analysis out of the 100 was 92 only. I've modified and explained this in the method section. I've added another sub hypothesis and its result was illustrated in the results section. Findings has been restructured in more details .



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Attention should be paid to proper sentence construction. Needless grammatical and spelling mistakes should be corrected. Spaces between paragraphs should be maintained.	The manuscript has been thoroughly checked
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It may be informative to know the sectors from which the respondents were drawn.	