



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_9682
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Accounting Ethics in Improving Managers' Behavior and Decision Making In the Jordanian Business Environment.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Please use the proper tense of the verb and correct the typo by painstakingly re-checked the whole manuscript. 2. Please cite the proper references in the introduction and literature sections. 3. Please find a professional proofreading. 4. Hypotheses should add several logical induction processes to help the readers to understand. 5. The research framework is a "Nested model", it should face some limitations in testing the nested model. 6. H1 includes two hypotheses. Please separate those two relationships in two hypotheses. 7. Whether those hypotheses were supported? It should include in the result section. 8. Where did those measurements come from? The author should resolve the measurement problem first.	1. The manuscript has been thoroughly checked 2. References has been reviewed 3. The manuscript has been thoroughly checked 5. I disagree with you about the research model. The research model is not nested, it study the impact of accounting ethics on both managers behaviour and decision making, but it study the effect of managers behaviour on decision making separately. 6. H1 has been divided into two hypothesis 7. The results of the hypothesis testing was included in the conclusions section. 8. The measurement source(questionnaire has been added to the appendix)
Minor REVISION comments	9. In the abstract it reveals that the number of questionnaire is 100, however, among those 100 questionnaires only 92 are returned, in addition, the valid questionnaire is unknown. Please reveal the valid questionnaire number in the abstract. 10. Why used the Jordanian companies as your population? 11. The author said that this research collect secondary data, but we did not see the result of	9. The number of questionnaire 92 has been modified. 10. It is the suitable environment to such study 11. The secondary data was included among the references. 12. Items of questionnaire has been added in the appendix.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	the secondary data. 12. Please indicate the items of questionnaire in the appendix.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		