



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_9963
Title of the Manuscript:	GAME THEORY: AN APPLICATION TO TANNERS AND 'POMO' WHOLESALERS IN HIDES MARKETING COMPETITION IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The title may be revised for the title of article should not emphasize theory but problem. 2. In line 12, the word "Nigeria" may be missing after the word "in" 3. In line 76, the word "determines" may be replaced to "discloses" 4. The Nash equilibrium in table 2 should be pointed out	1. I do not agree with this correction because 'game theory' is a tool of analysis and that is the name given to it. It is not possible for me to change the theory to problem 2. All other corrections have been effected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	5. The data of the study should be demonstrated in the appendix	I disagreed: The data of Table 2 was collated and manually computed from the individual questionnaire filled by the respondents, in which the result was presented on Table. The questionnaires can be attached.