



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_10135
Title of the Manuscript:	Unforeseen Challenges Facing Entrepreneurs in the UAE

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
It appears that the author(s) fail to revise in order to provide valuable contribution to academic paper due too poor reference and methodology. I am sorry to say that the paper is more relevant for popular publication. I would like to suggest the author(s) to write another new paper. For instance, the topic about "inconsistent policies and regulation" should refer to the concept of "environmental turbulence", which anchors to contingency theory.	As an author I responded positively to the comments provided in part 1 for this evaluator: 1. Your comments in part 1 was dedicated to the literature review section and has been fixed according to your recommendations. In other words, I did not fail to revise my paper. 2. In part 1 you did not mention anything about the references, even though the references were modified and include 16 valuable references from valuable resources. 3. In part 1 you suggested revising the methodology section. It was modified according to your recommendations and suggestions. This proves again that I did revise the following paper.