SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJEMT_11091**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Corruption, Institutional Quality And Economic Performance In An Emerging Economy: Lessons From Nigeria**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
Author wright « We only applied the drawing adapted from the dead weight loss theory to explain welfare loss arising from corruption and weak institutions in Nigeria. This was meant to graphically substantiate the fact that corruption and weak institutions can have a negative effect on economic performance. The graph is based on a hypothetical data for the purpose of substantiating theoretical arguments concerning the effects of corruption and weak institutions on economic performance captured in terms of welfare loss. In such a situation, it is not necessary to use specific and known data as suggested by this reviewer». **Explanation is clearly unsatisfactory. You never know what follows from theoretical assumptions at it is impossible to navigate. Author must either bring the experimental data, somehow confirming its position, or to exclude this part of the article.**	**WE AGREE COMPLETELY WITH THE REVIEWER AND WE HAVE DECIDED TO EXCLUDE THIS PART OF THE ARTICLE AS THE REVIEWER SUGGESTED. WE ALSO APPOLOGIZE FOR HIGHLIGHTING THE CORRECTIONS IN RED INSTEAD OF YELLOW. IT WAS AN OVERSIGHT. ALL THE CORRECTIONS IN LINE WITH THE REVIEWERS' COMMENTS ARE NOW HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW.**