



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_11571
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of the Concept (Ba) on Knowledge Management An Applied study in Cairo Amman Bank in Jordan

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
It is with sadness that I note that the author/s have hardly taken cognisance of the changes suggested. In fact, the changes have not been made. Perhaps, the resubmission was very rushed. The minor attempts to make the changes resulted in the sentence formation and referencing style moving from bad to worse. I am not sure if the comments were misinterpreted, especially that relating to the hypotheses as my comment did not state that the hypotheses were not measured. Rather, I said that the hypotheses need to be stated in a manner that is measureable. I think the quality of the manuscript has not improved.	Thanks for your comments. You call that the changes did not work while the three evaluations were more appropriate than your reaction on changes .we did more issue and observations raised in the first time, like: The abstract, study sample. Other observations concerning I did not find it needs to answer, Example (over structure is unclear), what you mean and what is needed .The paper is clear also the methodology is conceptual, theoretical and practical side is clear. There is coherence and consistency between the research problem and hypotheses We note that the structural conclusions according to the structural study Downright not have any research work integrated but by objectivity i think that the structure of this study are clear and coherent. Anyway please see below: 1. The literature has been reframe and review. Also the reference has been formulated in accordance to the rules. Modify and correct some sources, according to the margins in the text paper. Please see the new review reference in the text paper and the reference list pp.22-23. 2. Concerning the first two hypotheses: I think the approach of contemporary methodology indicates that there are two stages in the test variables, the first phase is called phase filter At this stage requires knowledge of the ownership of sub-variable (Socialization, externalization, Internalization, combination) of the main variable (concept Ba). We want know any of those variables (Socialization, externalization, Internalization, combination) that represent the main variable (concept Ba) (independent variable). In the same way that the dependent variables (creativity, Human Capital, Organization Process, Technology) and back or represent or unreliable measurement variable in the main We cannot do the relationship and the impact between the independent variables on the dependent variables before the measure knows that all of the ownership of sub-variables represents the main variable. Because it appears likely that some of these variables do not represent even be excluded from the second stage is called phase the correlation (relationship and impact). With Best Regard