



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJEMT_13525
Title of the Manuscript:	AN ASSESSMENT OF SELECTED DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS AND THE LEVEL OF JOB SATISFACTION OF SENIOR UNIVERSITY STAFF
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	First citation with five or less authors includes all authors and use et al when citing for the second time. Please give your paper to a language specialist for flow of thoughts and ideas. Separate conclusion and recommendations. Remember that conclusions are more of what you learnt from this study and its findings. It is an abridged summary of your findings. Recommendations is what you will want the institution and those affected by this study to do in order to improve job satisfaction of senior staff. The study is recommended for publication after the minor corrections.	Necessary corrections have been made to cite all the authors at the first mention. A new attempt was made to improve on the flow of the thoughts and ideas in the paper. The conclusion section has been separated from recommendations as suggested.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		