

Author's Feedback:

However, for the case of the evaluator indexed (Reviewer_1_FB_8973), I have been surprised by the provided poor report (there is no constructive comment or effective remark). Please see the report: **"The writing of this paper needs to be improved substantially. Many areas are unclear, and it is not always possible to determine what the authors are actually attempting to do. The entire paper needs to be proofread for grammatical and structural consistency, of which there is currently very little of. Why is this study important, and how does it fit into the broader literature? The reader is left wondering what the point is of the paper. Many concepts are introduced in a rather basic manner; it should be assumed that most readers do not need these additional details. Finally, the analysis seems to be too thin, and the research question is not answered in a convincing manner."**

After revising the paper, I have received the following: **"Although the authors have attempted to improve the paper with better clarity and completeness, the paper remains riddled with spelling and grammatical mistakes. This makes it very hard for a reader to always understand what the authors are trying to say. It also raises the question of whether the authors take the publication of a paper very seriously, as there clearly has not gone a lot of effort into the more technical aspects of the paper."**

In light of these issues, I maintain that in its current form the paper is not at the expected standard for an academic journal article. If the paper is to be published, however, there really needs to be more emphasis placed on the manner in which the information is conveyed. Ultimately you need to ask yourself why a reader should take the paper seriously when it seems as though the authors do not bother checking for simple things such as clarity of argument and grammar."

I think that the paper has been revised by other evaluators who provided many interesting and wealthy suggestions. However, in the present comment (even in the previous), I didn't find any constructive remark or suggestion.

I would like to know about those told grammatical and spelling mistakes (as provided by other evaluators).